



UNITED STATE DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAME	DINVENTOR			ATTORNEY	DOCKET NO.
	-09/255,29	02/22/9	99 รา	TOUTENBORG	IBOROUGH		E 70165-16		55-164
Γ	WALTER E ZIMMERMAN		PM82/0927		EXAMINER				
	FOLEY & LARDNER						WALSH,J		
	FIRSTAR CENTER 777 EAST WISCONSIN AVENUE MILWAUKEE WI 53202-5367					ART UNIT	PAI	PER NUMBER	
			VENUE	NUE 7			3627		
						DAT	PE MAII EN		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

09/27/99

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/255,297

Applicant(s)

Purcell et al.

Examiner

John B. Walsh

Group Art Unit 3627



Responsive to communication(s) filed on
☐ This action is FINAL .
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire
Disposition of Claims
☐ Claim(s) 1-92 is/are pending in the application.
Of the above, claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration
Claim(s)is/are allowed.
☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.
is/are objected to.
☐ Claims 1-92 are subject to restriction or election requirement.
Application Papers
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948.
The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is ☐approved ☐disapproved.
The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
\square The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been
received.
received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number)
received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
*Certified copies not received:
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).
Attachment(s)
☐ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892
Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s).
☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152
SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES

Application/Control Number: 09/255,297

Art Unit: 3627

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-6, 12-78, 81-86 and 90-92 are drawn to a locking device, classified in class 292, subclass 36.
 - II. Claims 7-11, 79 and 80 are drawn to a passive lock, classified in class 292, subclass 341.15.
 - III. Claims 87-89, drawn to a method of multi-point locking, classified in class 292, subclass 36.
 - IV. Claim 89, drawn to a method of multi-point locking including a passive lock, classified in class 292, subclass 341.15.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

 Inventions I and II are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention I has separate utility such as for locking a door against a frame having a standard keeper. See MPEP § 806.05(d).
- 3. Inventions I and III as well as inventions II and IV are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as

Application/Control Number: 09/255,297

Page 3

Art Unit: 3627

claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus.

- 4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(I).
- 6. A telephone call was made to Walter Zimmerman on September 22, 1999 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner 7. should be directed to John Walsh whose telephone number is (703) 305-0444.

JW

September 22, 1999